

## **PREFACE: ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND CHALLENGES FOR A DIVERSITY OF WOMEN IN SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATHEMATICS EDUCATION AND OCCUPATIONS**

Two critical forces are shaping the future of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) in the United States. One is the accelerating need for a scientifically and technologically competent workforce (National Science Board, 2003). Reflecting this labor market demand, the STEM occupations growth expected between the years 2004 and 2014 is 22%—almost double that of all other occupations (Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology, 2006; Terrell, 2007). Computer specialists and engineers will account for the largest share of this growth (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2009a; Carnevale, Smith, & Strohl, 2010). The national demand for STEM workers already exceeds the national supply of STEM-trained individuals.

The second critical force is the increasing diversity of individuals in higher education and in the workforce. For instance, college enrollment and labor market participation of women and ethnic minorities have dramatically increased over the last three decades (National Center for Education Statistics, 2009). More than 59% of women were in the labor force in 2008 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2009b). At the same time, women and some ethnic minorities (i.e., African-Americans, Latinas/os, and Native Americans) are not evenly distributed across fields of studies and occupations. STEM education and careers stand out for their limited diversity, specifically for the underrepresentation of women and some ethnic minorities.

Women's withdrawal from STEM fields appears to start in college. Although as many girls as boys leave high school prepared for STEM studies, in the first year of college women are less likely than men to indicate an intention to major in STEM disciplines [National Science Foundation (NSF), 2009]. By graduation, women are a minority in almost every STEM field. In some STEM disciplines, including engineering, women represent less than 20% of college graduates. Women's participation in STEM declines further at the graduate level, and again at the transition to the workplace [American Association of University Women (AAUW), 2010]. At the same time, patterns of female STEM interest and persistence vary depending on ethnicity. For example, African-American women demonstrate persistent interest and involvement in science and are more likely to be employed in science eight years after high school than European American women (Hanson, 2004; Hanson & Palmer-Johnson, 2000). However, the number of African-American women in STEM occupations is very low, comprising about 3% of the more than 18 million scientists and engineers employed in 2006 (NSF, 2009).

Women in their diversity represent a large untapped talent for STEM education and occupations. The participation of a diversity of women in STEM would bring innovation and creativity to these fields. "With a more diverse workforce, scientific and technological products, services, and solutions are likely to be better designed and more likely to represent all users," according to the 2010 AAUW report on women in STEM. Women

would also benefit from being in STEM occupations. The concentration of women in high-skill but low-pay occupations is what accounts for the majority of the wage gap between women and men. All major STEM occupations have median earnings that are above the national average (Terrell, 2007). While women earn less than men even in STEM fields, women in STEM occupations tend to earn more than women in non-STEM occupations (AAUW, 2010). By contributing to greater pay equity for women, the participation of a diversity of women in STEM is thus also an issue of social justice.

This special issue focuses on the accomplishments and challenges for a diversity of women in STEM education and occupations. Our main goal for this special issue is to expand dominant conceptual frameworks about women in STEM education and occupations. Much research for women in STEM has focused on the undergraduate experience. Thus, in this special issue, we venture beyond the undergraduate college years. Articles in this special issue focus on women in STEM graduate studies (Bernstein, 2011; Hosoi & Canetto, 2011) and women in STEM occupations (Fouad, Fitzpatrick, & Liu, 2011; Paquin & Fassinger, 2011), as well as women who left STEM occupations (Fouad et al., 2011). The articles bring attention to the diversity of women who participate or could participate in STEM. The articles also feature varied research methodologies aimed at capturing women's common and unique experiences.

Women's participation in STEM fields is important to realizing the full talent pool of individuals who can and wish to contribute to science and engineering—rather than science and engineering becoming the domain of an elite few (Zerhouni, 2007). We hope that this special issue advances the science of STEM education and occupational studies, and stimulates new ideas for the design of STEM programs for a diversity of women.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Angela Byars-Winston is supported in part by grants R01 GM094573, R01 GM088477, DP4 GM96822, and 1KL2 RR025012 from the National Institutes of Health. Silvia Sara Canetto is supported in part by the National Science Foundation Center for Multi-Scale Modeling of Atmospheric Processes (D. Randall, P.I., S. S. Canetto et al., Co-P.I.s), managed by Colorado State University under cooperative agreement No. ATM-0425247 OSP No. 533045. The research they conducted for this article and the views expressed here do not indicate endorsement by the funders.

## REFERENCES

- American Association of University Women (2010). *Why so few? Women in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*. Retrieved October 4, 2010, from American Association of University Women Web site: <http://www.aauw.org/learn/research/upload/whysofew.pdf/>.
- Bernstein, B., (2011). Managing barriers and building supports in science and engineering doctoral programs: Conceptual underpinnings for a new online training program for women. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 17, pp. 29–50.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2009a). *Occupational employment and job openings data, 2008—18, and worker characteristics, 2008*. Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from U.S. Department of Labor Web site: [http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep\\_table\\_106.htm/](http://www.bls.gov/emp/ep_table_106.htm/).

- Bureau of Labor Statistics (2009b). *Women in the labor force: A databook*. Report 1018. Retrieved January 11, 2011, from U. S. Department of Labor Web site: <http://www.bls.gov/cps/wlf-databook-2009.pdf/>.
- Carnevale, A., Smith, N., and Strohl, J., (2010). *Help wanted: Projections of jobs and education requirements through 2018*. Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from Center of Education and the Workforce, Georgetown University Web site: <http://www9.georgetown.edu/grad/gppi/hpi/cew/pdfs/FullReport.pdf/>.
- Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology (2006). *STEM employment forecasts and distributions among employment sectors*. STEM Workforce Data Project: Report No. 7. Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from Commission on Professionals in Science and Technology Web site: [https://www.cpsst.org/STEM/STEM7\\_Report.pdf/](https://www.cpsst.org/STEM/STEM7_Report.pdf/).
- Fouad, N.A., Fitzpatrick, M.E., and Liu, J.P., (2011). Persistence of women in engineering careers: A qualitative study of current and former female engineers. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 17, pp. 69–96.
- Hanson, S.L., (2004). African American women in science: Experiences from high school through the post-secondary years and beyond. *National Women's Studies Association Journal*, 16, 96–115.
- Hanson, S.L. and Palmer-Johnson, E., (2000). Expecting the unexpected: A comparative study of African American women's experiences in science during the high school years. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 3, 265–294.
- Hosoi, S.A. and Canetto, S.S., (2011). Women in graduate engineering: Is differential dropout a factor in their underrepresentation among the engineering doctorates? *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 17, pp. 11–27.
- National Center for Education Statistics (2009). *Digest of education statistics: 2009*. Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from U.S. Department of Education Web site: [http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d09/ch\\_3.asp/](http://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d09/ch_3.asp/).
- National Science Board (2003). *The science and engineering workforce: Realizing America's potential*. Arlington, VA: National Science Foundation.
- National Science Foundation (2009). *Women, minorities, and persons with disabilities in science and engineering: 2009* (NSF 09-305). Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from National Science Foundation Web site: <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/wmpd/pdf/tab8-8.pdf/>.
- Paquin, J.D. and Fassinger, R.E., (2011). Managers, mentoring, and moving up: Male managers' perceptions of the role of mentoring in women's career advancement in the chemical industry. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 17, pp. 51–68.
- Terrell, N., (2007). STEM occupations. *Occupational outlook quarterly, spring 2007*. Retrieved on September 30, 2010, from Bureau of Labor Statistics Web site: <http://www.bls.gov/opub/ooq/2007/spring/art04.pdf/>.
- Zerhouni, E.A., (2007). Keynote speech presented at the annual conference on Understanding Interventions That Encourage Minorities to Pursue Research Careers. In S. Olson & A. Fagen (Eds.), *Summary of a Workshop* (pp. 1–6). Retrieved September 30, 2010, from National Academies Press Web site: [http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record\\_id=12022&page=2/](http://books.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=12022&page=2/).

### **Guest Editors**

**Angela Byars-Winston**

*Center for Women's Health Research*

*University of Wisconsin*

*Madison, WI 53715-2634*

**Silvia Sara Canetto**

*Psychology Department*

*Colorado State University*

*Fort Collins, CO 80523*